



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/828,331

04/21/2004

Osamu Okumura

038959.02

4236

25944

7590

07/27/2006

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC  
P.O. BOX 19928  
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320

EXAMINER

TON, MINH TOAN T

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2871

DATE MAILED: 07/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-4 and 6-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nakazawa et al (US 5736278).

Nakazawa discloses a reflective type color liquid crystal device comprising (see at least Figures 3-5): a plurality of pixel electrodes corresponding to a plurality of dots; a plurality of color filters (11,12,13), a color filter being arranged to correspond to each of the plurality of dots, the color filters being shaped so that individual dots of the plurality of dots include a light-transmitting area having a color filter and light-transmitting area having no color filter, light-transmitting areas (e.g., 4) having no color filter in adjacent dots being separated by an area having no color filter.

Nakazawa discloses the layers are formed in the areas between the dot areas (see at least Figures 3-5). Nakazawa discloses at least one color filter (e.g., 11, 12, 13) continuously extending beyond the area of each the plurality of dots (see at least Figures 3-5, the color filter partially overlapping the black matrix).

Nakazawa discloses the color filters (11, 12, 13) separated from each other by a substantially uniform pitch (see at least Figures 3-5).

Art Unit: 2871

Nakazawa discloses the layers including acryl or polyamide (col. 8, 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph).

The dot (liquid crystal displaying pixel) areas are inherently the light-variable areas, which make transmissivity of the liquid crystal layer possible to vary by applying the voltage between the first electrode and the second electrode.

Nakazawa discloses color filters provided only on a part of the light-variable areas in each dot area, each color filter partially formed substantially in the middle of one dot area (see at least Figures 3-5).

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nakazawa et al as applied to claims 1-4 and 6-12 above.

Nakazawa discloses the color liquid crystal device comprising the thickness of the color filter and the thickness of the layer being different (see at least Figures 3-4). Therefore, it would have been at least obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a particular thickness for the color filter and the transparent layer for advantages such as high quality color display device.

### ***Double Patenting***

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the “right to exclude” granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. A nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting rejection is appropriate where the conflicting claims are not identical, but at least one examined application claim is not patentably distinct from the reference claim(s) because the examined application claim is either anticipated by, or would have been obvious over, the reference claim(s). See, e.g., *In re Berg*, 140 F.3d 1428, 46 USPQ2d 1226 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) or 1.321(d) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent either is shown to be commonly owned with this application, or claims an invention made as a result of activities undertaken within the scope of a joint research agreement.

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 2871

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 30-45 and 55-79 of copending Application No. 10/995176. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both comprise at least similar and overlapping subject matter such as a dot area formed at an overlapping portion of the first electrode and the second electrode, a color filter arranged in a section of the dot area, a layer arranged in another section of the dot area in which no color filter is arranged, the layer being transparent.

Claims 1-12 are provisionally rejected on the ground of nonstatutory obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 30-31, 33, 37-38, 49-78 of copending Application No. 09/671354. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because both comprise at least similar and overlapping subject matter such as a dot area formed at an overlapping portion of the first electrode and the second electrode, a color filter arranged in a section of the dot area, a layer arranged in another section of the dot area in which no color filter is arranged, the layer being transparent.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Art Unit: 2871

***Contact Information***

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Toan Ton whose telephone number is (571) 272-2303.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

July 24, 2006

  
TOANTON  
PRIMARY EXAMINER